experimental results in this direction. There are several possible ways in which catalase can decompose  $H_2O_2$  without causing a detectable  $O^{18}$ -enrichment in the oxygen produced. First, the oxygen may be produced through an action of the enzyme on  $H_2O_2$  without breaking the peroxide bond, e.g., by dehydrogenation process. This, however, seems most unlikely, because the peroxide bond is the weakest link in the  $H_2O_2$  molecule. Since catalase is the most efficient of all enzymes, it is very unlikely that such an enzyme would skip the weak peroxide bond and try to break the much stronger O-H bonds. Secondly, one may suggest that the reaction is diffusion-controlled, so that no isotope effect is not detected. But this suggestion contradicts experimental evidence. Chance estimated the activation energy for the catalytic decomposition of  $H_2O_2$  by catalase to be 1400 cal./mole or less,<sup>5</sup> whereas for a diffusion-controlled reaction in aqueous solution at room temperatures the activation energy should be close to 4.6 kcal./mole. The third possibility is that the splitting of the peroxide bond by catalase is preceded by a slower and hence rate-determining step in which the substrate combines with the enzyme; *i.e.*, once the substrate succeeded in combining with catalase, the peroxide

bond is immediately split irrespective of whether it is O<sup>16</sup>-O<sup>16</sup> bond or O<sup>16</sup>-O<sup>18</sup> bond. The transition of compound I to compound III in Fig. 1 has some resemblance to this possibility. Finally, the peroxide bond may not be completely broken until fairly stable bonds have been formed between the two O atoms and catalase. The energy consumed in breaking the stronger O<sup>16</sup>-O<sup>18</sup> bond is mostly compensated by the energy gained in forming the stronger Fe<sup>III</sup>-O<sup>18</sup> bonds, etc.; and if the splitting of the peroxide bond and the formation of the new enzyme-substrate bonds are not isolated events but occur in a more or less continuous transition, this would minimize the activation energy as well as the isotope effect. The mechanisms of most catalytic processes, including the one illustrated in Fig. 1, probably have some feature of this continuous transition from old to new bonds. In general, the efficiency of the catalyst depends largely on the nature of this kind of transition.

Acknowledgment.—In preparing this work, the present author benefited through conversations with Professors H. G. Cassidy, L. Onsager, A. Patterson, S. J. Singer, J. M. Sturtevant, H. H. Wasserman and Dr. E. H. White.

NEW HAVEN, CONNECTICUT

### [CONTRIBUTION FROM THE COMBUSTION BRANCH, U. S. NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION]

# The Photolysis and Pyrolysis of Acetone- $d_6$ in the Presence of Ethane and of Acetone in the Presence of Ethane- $d_6$

BY JAMES R. MCNESBY AND ALVIN S. GORDON

RECEIVED MARCH 1, 1955

The photolysis and pyrolysis of mixtures of acetone- $d_6$  and ethane, and of acetone and ethane- $d_6$  have been studied with particular emphasis on abstraction of H and D and by CD<sub>3</sub> and CH<sub>3</sub>, respectively (reaction 1 and 2). The activation energies found are  $E_1 = 11.5$  kcal. and  $E_2 = 14.8$  kcal. Changing light intensity and introducing additional surface has no measurable effect on the relative rates of (1) and (3). Small amounts of ethylene- $d_4$ , HD and D<sub>2</sub>, but no H<sub>2</sub> within the accuracy of the mass spectrometer are found in the products of the photolysis and pyrolysis of the ethane- $d_6$ -acetone mixture. HD, H<sub>2</sub> but no D<sub>2</sub> were found in the acetone- $d_6$ -ethane reaction, A fifty-fold increase in surface had no measurable effect upon the HD/ $D_2$  ratio. Similarly, argon does not appear to affect the ratio measurably. Both the HD/H<sub>2</sub> and HD/D<sub>2</sub> ratios increased with temperature. The most reasonable interpretation of the hydrogen analyses appears to be that a H (or D) atom is formed by decomposition of the ethyl radical and the H (or D) atom subsequently either abstracts H or D from acetone or ethane, or recombines with a similar atom.

### Introduction

The measurement of activation energies of metathetical reactions of the type  $CH_3 + HR \rightarrow CH_4 +$ R has been greatly facilitated in recent years by a technique first introduced by Steacie1 which involves the use of the CD<sub>3</sub> radical. The rate of formation of CD<sub>3</sub>H is compared with the rate of formation of  $CD_4$  in the reaction of  $CD_3$  with acetone- $d_6$ from which the CD<sub>3</sub> radicals are generated. This comparison is made over a temperature range and the pre-exponential factors and activation energies are obtained relative to those for the reaction of  $CD_3$  with acetone- $d_6$ . To obtain a meaningful measurement, the amount of CD<sub>3</sub>H arising from the incompletely deuterated acetone must represent a very small proportion of the CD<sub>3</sub>H produced by the abstraction of hydrogen from the compound under investigation. This requirement demands a

(1) A. F. Trotman-Dickenson, J. R. Birchard and E. W. R. Steacie, J. Chem. Phys., 19, 163 (1951).

very highly deuterated acetone- $d_6$ . The acetone- $d_6$ used by Trotman-Dickenson, Birchard and Steacie<sup>1</sup> in their work on abstractions by CD<sub>3</sub> of hydrogen from hydrocarbons contained some 30% acetone $d_5$ . This results in large percentages of the total CD<sub>3</sub>H arising from the partially deuterated acetone. We calculate about 80% in the case of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>. Recently the reaction between CD<sub>3</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub><sup>2</sup> was studied in this Laboratory. Since methane has only one kind of hydrogen, the significance of these experiments is clear.

In the present investigation the reactions

$CD_{3} + C_{2}H_{6} \longrightarrow$	$CD_3H + C_2$	H <sub>5</sub> (1)
$CH_3 + C_2D_3 \longrightarrow$	$CH_3D + C_2$	$D_5$ (2)

were studied since they can be interpreted unambiguously by the observation of the  $CD_3H/CD_4$  and  $CH_4/CH_3D$  ratios, respectively. Previous work<sup>3</sup> (2) J. R. McNesby and A. S. Gordon, THIS JOURNAL, **76**, 4196 (1954).

(3) J. R. McNesby and A. S. Gordon, ibid., 76, 1416 (1954).

showed that when acetone and acetone- $d_6$  reacted with CD<sub>3</sub>, the same activation energy difference (1.7 kcal.) existed as for the reactions with CH<sub>3</sub>. It was of interest to determine whether this activation energy difference is dependent upon the nature of the compound from which H and D are abstracted.

### Experimental

Acetone- $d_{6}$  was prepared by the method described previously.<sup>4</sup> Mass spectrometer analysis showed the product to be 97.5% acetone- $d_{6}$  and 2.5% acetone- $d_{5}$ . The ethane was Phillips research grade material and contained a trace of methane. Acetone was distilled from commercial material. The ethane- $d_{6}$  was kindly given to us by Drs. R. E. Varnerin and F. O. Rice. It contained 97.6% ethane- $d_{6}$ , 2.2% ethane- $d_{5}$ , 0.2% CD<sub>4</sub> and a trace of CD<sub>3</sub>H. Two master mixtures were prepared. For the study of the reaction of CD<sub>3</sub> with ethane, the mixture contained ethane and acetone- $d_{6}$  in the ratio 2.84, and for the investigation of the reaction of CH<sub>3</sub> + C<sub>2</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, the acetone/ethane- $d_{6}$  ratio was 0.53. The light source was a flat spiral Hanovia SC-2537 lamp. The photolyses were carried out in aluminum block furnaces, fitted with double windows, one of fused silica and the other a Corning filter to remove the 1849 mercury line. The fused silica, cylindrical reaction vessels were 4 cm. i.d.  $\times$  4 cm. high. The reaction vessels were protected from mercury vapor with a gold leaf trap. The reaction vessel in one furnace was charged with enough silica rod to increase the surface area sixfold.

The total pressure was 100 mm. for the acetone- $d_6$ ethane mixtures, and 80 mm. in the case of acetone-ethane $d_6$  mixtures at each of the temperatures at which the mixture was photolyzed.

The mixtures were pyrolyzed in Pyrex reaction vessels using the technique described elsewhere.<sup>4</sup> The vessels were charged at room temperature with about 100 mm. of the master mixture in the case of acetone  $d_{\theta}$  and ethane, and 50 mm. in the case of acetone and ethane  $d_{\theta}$ . In experiments with added surface the reaction vessels were packed with Pyrex wool and the surface area estimated from the weight of the Pyrex wool and the approximate diameter of the fibers.

The analysis for  $CD_3H$  and  $CD_4$  in the investigation of reaction (1) has been described previously,<sup>4</sup> and involves analyzing the fraction of the products volatile at  $-195^\circ$ . The analysis for  $CH_3D$  and  $CH_4$  in the study of reaction (2) again involved a low temperature fractionation and presented a considerable problem. When a mass spectrometer is in general use, complete removal of mass 18 water vapor from the instrument becomes a virtual impossibility. Since small amounts of  $CD_4$  and  $CD_3H$  were present in the initial mixture and some  $CH_2D_2$  was formed in the reaction, the mass 18 peak in the mixture had contributions from a number of compounds. In addition, a large amount of CO is formed which has a considerable contribution at mass 16, while both  $CH_2D_2$  and  $H_2O$  have contributions at masses 16 and 17. The Consolidated Engineering mass spectrome-

### TABLE I

A Typical Methane Analysis Using High Resolution Mass Spectrometry: Photolysis of Acetone-Ethane- $d_6$ Mixture at 361°

m/e	15	16	17	18	19	20
Peak height	204.9	266.7	42.1	57.0	3.4	59.6
$CD_4$		7.5	0.0	49.5	0.5	59.6
$\Delta 1$	204.9	249.2	42.0	7.5	2.9	· . ·
$CD_3H$	0.2	0.4	1.5	1.2	2.8	
$\Delta 2$	204.7	258.8	40.5	6.3	0.1	
$CH_7D_4$	0.6	1.8	3.7	5.9	0.1	
43	204.1	257.0	36.8	0.4		
$CH_3D$	7.2	26.5	34.3	0.4		
$\Delta 4$	196.6	230.5	2.5			
CH₄	198.5	230.5	2.5			

(4) J. R. McNesby, T. W. Davis and A. S. Gordon, This JOURNAL, 76, 823 (1954).

ter in use in this Laboratory is equipped with a high resolution slit arrangement which facilitates separating the mass 17 due to OH and the mass 16 due to O, from the corresponding 17 and 16 peaks arising from CH<sub>3</sub>D, CD<sub>2</sub>H, and CH<sub>4</sub>, CD<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>D, respectively. The mass spectrum of CH<sub>4</sub> measured on the high resolution instrument compared very well with the cracking pattern in the literature after suitable adjustment of the instrument, and with that previously measured on our instrument without high resolution. It was therefore assumed that the cracking pattern of CD<sub>4</sub>, CD<sub>3</sub>H, CD<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>3</sub>D agreed with the literature with the most recent CD<sub>3</sub>H pattern being used.<sup>3</sup> A typical methane analysis is given in Table I.

### **Results and Discussion**

Two methane producing reactions occur when a mixture of acetone- $d_6$  and ethane are photolyzed or pyrolyzed.

$$\begin{array}{c} CD_3 + C_2H_6 \longrightarrow CD_3H + C_2H_5 \qquad (1) \\ CD_3 + CD_3COCD_3 \longrightarrow CD_4 + CD_2COCD_3 \quad (3) \end{array}$$

Mixtures of acetone- $d_6$  and ethane were photolyzed in the range 246–405° and pyrolyzed from 477–524°. Since the CD<sub>3</sub>H/CD<sub>4</sub> ratio for the photolysis and pyrolysis of acetone- $d_6$  is only about 0.03, no correction in the CD<sub>3</sub>H/CD<sub>4</sub> ratios was applied when mixtures were run. The results are given in Table II. No trend with time was observed in the CD<sub>3</sub>H/CD<sub>4</sub> ratios since the reactions were stopped after about 1% reaction. A least squares evaluation of the Arrhenius plot gave  $E_1 - E_3 =$  $0.21 \pm 0.04$  kcal. (standard deviation). Since  $E_3$  $= 11.3 \pm 0.2$  kcal.,<sup>3.4</sup>  $E_1 = 11.5 \pm 0.2$  kcal. The Arrhenius plot gives the ratio of pre-exponential factors  $A_1/A_3 = 1.21$ . It is of interest that the cruder experiments of Trotman-Dickinson and Steacie<sup>1</sup> gave  $E_1 - E_3 = 0.1$ .

TABLE II

## Reaction of Methyl- $d_3$ Radicals with Ethane and Acetone- $d_8$

		••••••••••		
<i>T</i> , °C.	t, min.	$CD_3H/CD_4$	$k_1/k_3$	$H_2/HD$
246	$^{2}$	2.82	0.99	
246	4	2.77	0.98	
275	2	2.86	1.01	
275	3	2.85	1.00	
309	1	2.89	1.02	
309	2	2.84	1.00	
309	3	2.86	1.01	
336	1	2.85	1.00	
<b>3</b> 36	2	2.87	1.01	
405	1	2.93	1.03	7.2
405	2	2.95	1.04	7.1
405	3	2.99	1.05	6.7
477	9	2.97	1.05	6.5
498	12	3.02	1.06	
501	5	3.01	1.06	6.4
524	$^{2}$	2.98	1.05	5.2
524	4.7	3.00	1.06	
$320^{a}$	10	2.80	0.99	
$320^{a}$	15	2.82	0.99	
$315^{b}$	1	2.85	1.00	
315'	$^{2}$	2.80	0.99	
$315^{b}$	3	2.81	0.99	
$257^{b}$	2	2.78	0.98	
$257^{b}$	4	2.78	0.98	

 $^a$  Light intensity one tenth of other runs.  $^b$  Six  $\times$  increase in surface area. Runs at 477° and higher are pyrolyses.

Evans and Polanyi<sup>5</sup> have developed a theory of relationship between the dissociation energies of the bonds  $R_1-X$  and  $R_2-X$  and the activation energies for the metathetical reactions

$$P + X - R_1 \longrightarrow PX + R_1$$
 (4)

$$P + X - R_2 \longrightarrow PX + R_2 \tag{5}$$

They conclude that, provided certain conditions are fulfilled

$$\frac{1}{2} \left[ D_{R_1 - X} - D_{R_2 - X} \right] = E_4 - E_5$$
 (I)

Although it is questionable that the theory is applicable to reactions (1) and (6)

$$CD_3 + CH_4 \longrightarrow CD_3H + CH_3 \tag{6}$$

it is nevertheless true that equation (I) is obeyed for these two reactions with very high precision. According to the work of Stevenson<sup>6</sup>  $D_{CH_7-H} - D_{C_{2H_7-H}} = 5.0$  kcal. The Evans-Polanyi theory predicts  $E_6 - E_1 = 5.0/2 = 2.5$  kcal. It has been found<sup>2</sup> that  $E_6 - E_3 = 2.7$  kcal. and  $E_1 - E_3 = 0.2$ kcal. It follows that  $E_6 - E_1 = 2.5$  kcal., in excellent agreement with the prediction of this theory.

As Table II shows, changing the light intensity by a factor of 10 has no appreciable effect on the relative rates of formation of  $CD_3H$  and  $CD_4$ . A sixfold increase in surface area similarly has no appreciable effect.

### TABLE III

REACTION OF  $CH_3$  with Acetone and Ethane- $d_8$ 

The units of  $CD_4$ , HD,  $D_2$  are mass spectrometer peak heights. The units of the quantity whose log is given in column 8 are min.<sup>-1/2</sup>.

T.	t		CH4/				$(HD)/\tilde{t}(CD_4)$
۰ĉ.	min.	$C D_4^{\alpha}$	CH₃D <sup>b</sup>	k1/k2	HD	$D_2$	$[D_2/t(CD_4)]^{1/2}$
254	1		15.3	28.9			
254	$^{2}$		15.8	29.8			
254	3		12.5	23.6			
328	$^{2}$	439	8.62	16.3	3.0	38.3	-1.79
328	4	431	7.99	15.1	19.5	56.6	-1.21
328	6	440	8.84	16.7	8.8	72.4	-1.71
361	$^{2}$	419	6.74	12.7	4.6	28.2	-1.51
361	3	470	6.72	12.7	9.1	53.1	-1.47
358°	$^{2}$	841			3.6	23.0	-1.75
360°	3	673			9.8	35.3	-1.44
406	1	501	4.79	9.05	5.0	19.7	-1.31
406	$^{2}$	473	4.89	9.23	12.7	35.0	-1.14
406	3	539	5.11	9.65	15.2	56.2	-1.31
451	1	450	3.81	7.20	11.7	22.6	-0.93
451	$^{2}$	428	3.92	7.40	27.8	44.7	-0.86
507	1	529	3.02	5.70	9.6	5.9	
507	$^{2}$	479	3.21	6.05	9.2	5.3	
507	3	521	3.19	6.02	15.8	7.8	
507	10	621			38.1	17.6	
507 <sup>d</sup>	$^{2}$	549			6.1	2.0	
507 <b>°</b>	$^{2}$	501			5.6	1.9	
507 <sup>7</sup>	$^{2}$	680			5.9	1.9	
507'	3	810			6.0	2.0	

<sup>a</sup> This CD<sub>4</sub> is not a reaction product except partly at 507°. It represents that portion of the CD<sub>4</sub> originally in the sample which entered the mass spectrometer in the analysis. <sup>b</sup> Only high resolution runs reported. <sup>c</sup> Surface increased 6  $\times$ . <sup>d</sup> Surface increased 20  $\times$ . <sup>e</sup> Surface increased 50  $\times$ . <sup>f</sup> Argon pressure 3  $\times$  mixture pressure.

(5) M. G. Evans and M. Polanyi, Trans. Faraday Soc., 34, 11 (1938).

(6) D. P. Stevenson, Discs. Faraday Soc., 35, (1951).

A mixture of acetone and ethane- $d_6$  was photolyzed and pyrolyzed over the temperature range  $254-507^{\circ}$ . The results are given in Table III. Since the precision of results at  $254^{\circ}$  was quite poor, runs at this temperature were not included in the Arrhenius plot shown in Fig. 1, although they do fall within experimental error of the extrapolated line. The filled circles in this plot are data published recently by Rice and Varnerin.<sup>7</sup> No trend could be observed with time in our data, and each run was given equal weight in the statistical analysis. The two methane forming reactions were

$$CH_{3} + CH_{3}COCH_{3} \longrightarrow CH_{4} + CH_{2}COCH_{3} \quad (7)$$
$$CH_{3} + C_{2}D_{6} \longrightarrow CH_{3}D + C_{2}D_{5} \qquad (2)$$

From the Arrhenius plot shown in Fig. 1,  $E_2$  –  $E_7 = 5.23 \pm 0.16$  kcal., and  $A_7/A_2 = 0.20$ . A value for  $E_2 - E_7$  of 2.0 kcal. was reported recently by Rice and Varnerin.<sup>7</sup> This value was obtained from pyrolysis data over a temperature range of only 50°. As Fig. 1 shows, the approximate  $k_7/k_2$ values obtained by Rice and Varnerin are in good agreement with our extrapolated values. It is probable that their measurements were subject to errors at least as large as ours. Their points may fall on our extrapolated Arrhenius plot within experimental error. The comparison of the two sets of data illustrates that either a very large temperature range or a large number of determinations is required to ensure accurate values for the activation energy. The value of  $E_7$  is 9.6  $\pm$  0.1 kcal. and it follows that  $E_2 = 14.8 \pm 0.3$ .



Fig. 1.—The Arrhenius plot for the difference in activation energy between abstraction by  $CH_3$  of H from acetone and D from ethane- $d_6$ . The filled circles represent the data of Rice and Varnerin.<sup>7</sup>

It is concluded that  $E_2 - E_1 = 3.3 \pm 0.6$  kcal. If it is assumed that CH<sub>3</sub> and CD<sub>3</sub> are confronted by equal activation barriers in the abstraction of hydrogen, this result is surprisingly high. If CH<sub>3</sub> and CD<sub>3</sub> are significantly different when attacking a molecule such as ethane, then the results indicate that ethane presents a higher barrier to CH<sub>3</sub> than to CD<sub>3</sub>.

Since a small amount of  $CD_4$  was present in the ethane- $d_6$  it was somewhat difficult to determine whether  $CD_4$  was being formed during the course of the reaction. If  $CD_4$  is not a reaction product, the  $CH_4/CD_4$  ratio should be proportional to the time

(7) F. O. Rice and R. E. Varnerin, THIS JOURNAL, 77, 221 (1955).

of reaction in the early stages since

$$CH_4/CD_4 = r_1 t/(r_2 t + [CD_4]_0)$$
 (II)

where t is time,  $[CD_4]_0$  is the concentration of  $CD_4$ originally present and  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are the rates of formation of  $CH_4$  and  $CD_4$ , respectively. If  $CD_4$  is a product, the ratio should be proportional to time at very small times, and as time goes on the ratio should become independent of time. Figure 2 shows that this reasoning leads to the conclusion that  $CD_4$  is not a product in the photolysis but is a product in the pyrolysis at 507°.



Fig. 2.—The photolysis and pyrolysis of mixtures of acetone and ethane- $d_6$ . The figure illustrates that CD<sub>4</sub> is formed in the pyrolysis at 507° but not in the photolysis at lower temperatures.

It is possible that the reaction  $D + C_{2}D_{2} \longrightarrow 2CI$ 

$$+ C_2 D_5 \longrightarrow 2C D_3 \tag{8}$$

or that the reaction

$$C_2D_6 \longrightarrow 2CD_3$$
 (9)

becomes appreciable in the region of  $500^{\circ}$ . The two methanes  $CD_4$  and  $CD_3H$  can then be formed by abstraction of D and H, respectively, by  $CD_3$ .

Of special interest is the observation that small amounts of HD, D<sub>2</sub> and ethylene- $d_4$  are formed in both pyrolysis and photolysis of the acetone-ethane- $d_6$  mixture. Mercury photosensitization can give rise to D atoms in the photolysis if the efficiency of the gold leaf trap is poor. However, HD and D<sub>2</sub> formed in the pyrolysis must arise from a thermal reaction. The fact that the HD/D<sub>2</sub> ratio increases with temperature in photolysis and pyrolysis suggests that D atoms can react in the following ways

$$D + CH_3COCH_3 \longrightarrow DH + CH_2COCH_3$$
 (10)

$$D + C_2 D_6 \longrightarrow D_2 + C_2 D_5 \tag{11}$$

$$M + D + D \longrightarrow D_2 + M \tag{12}$$

The activation energy for reaction (11) is undoubtedly considerably higher than for reaction (10), so that (11) cannot be important relative to (10). Since  $E_{12}$  is about zero, the important hydrogen producing reactions must be (10) and (12). To determine whether M in reaction (12) represents a wall, the surface/volume ratios were increased by factors of 20 and 50 in some pyrolysis experiments. The results in Table III show that the HD/D<sub>2</sub> ratios were not appreciably affected. If (10) and (12) are the important reactions, for small percentage conversion

$$(HD) = k_{10}(A)(D)t (D_2) = k_{12}(M)(D)^2 t$$

where t is the time of reaction in minutes. The concentrations (A) and (M) are each proportional to the  $(CD_4)$  which is present as an impurity in the  $C_2D_6$ . No  $CD_4$  is formed during the photolysis.

(HD) = 
$$k_{10}\beta(\text{CD}_4)(\text{D})t$$
  
(D<sub>2</sub>) =  $k_{12}\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^2(\text{CD}_4)(\text{D})^2t$ 

where  $\beta$  and  $\alpha$  are dimensionless, temperature independent, proportionality constants. It follows that

$$\alpha k_{10}/k_{12}^{1/2} = \frac{(\mathrm{HD})}{(\mathrm{CD}_4)t} / \left[ \frac{(\mathrm{D}_2)}{(\mathrm{CD}_4)t} \right]^{1/2}$$
(I11)

The data in Table III indicate that  $E_{10} - \frac{1}{2} E_{12} \approx 12$  kcal. This value seems rather high when compared with the value of 9 kcal. obtained by Harris and Steacie<sup>8</sup> for the reaction of a hydrogen atom with acetone but the precision of our data is such that  $E_{10} - \frac{1}{2}E_{12}$  may be as low as 9 kcal. Table III, column 7, shows that there is no evidence that the D atom recombination is influenced by the wall. The addition of argon may have a slight effect on the D atom recombination, but the effect is not measurable in the present system. The detailed mechanism of D<sub>2</sub> formation cannot be ascertained at this time, but homogeneous D atom recombination is a possible process.

In the case of acetone- $\hat{d}_6$ -ethane mixtures,  $H_2$ and HD were formed but  $D_2$  was absent. The  $H_2/$ HD ratio decreased with increasing temperature. These observations are consistent with the following reactions

$$C_{2}H_{5} \longrightarrow C_{2}H_{4} + H$$
(13)  

$$H + C_{2}H_{6} \longrightarrow H_{2} + C_{2}H_{5}$$
(14)  

$$M + H + H \longrightarrow H_{2} + M$$
(15)  

$$H + CD_{3}COCD_{3} \longrightarrow HD + CD_{2}COCD_{3}$$
(16)  

$$H = b + (C + b) + b + (H)(M)$$

$$\frac{H_2}{HD} = \frac{k_{15}(C_2H_6) + k_{16}(H)(M)}{k_{15}(CD_3COCD_3)}$$
(IV)

At high temperatures, the energy of activation difference from the Arrhenius plot of H<sub>2</sub>/HD would tend toward  $E_{16} - E_{14}$ , which is probably close to zero; at low temperatures a similar plot of  $H_2^{1/2}$ / HD ratios would produce an apparent  $E_{16} - \frac{1}{2}E_{15}$ . The latter activation energy difference would be about 10 kcal. Since both mechanisms are operative in the temperature range studied, the observed energy of activation difference should be roughly 5 kcal. The data in Table II indicate an apparent activation energy difference of about  $4 \pm 2$  kcal. When these results are taken along with the results for acetone-ethane- $d_6$  case discussed previously, the consistent mechanism of H<sub>2</sub>, HD and D<sub>2</sub> formation represented by reactions 10-16 is in agreement with the results within experimental error. The ethylene produced should be approximately equal to the to-tal hydrogen formed. The data obtained in this work show that this is at least approximately true, so that the only important ethylene producing reaction also produces hydrogen.

(8) C. M. Harris and E. W. R. Steacie, J. Chem. Phys., 13, 554 (1945).

Wijnen and Steacie<sup>9</sup> studied the photolysis of  $\alpha$ tetradeuterodiethyl ketone in an effort to investigate the reactions of ethyl radicals. At temperatures above 200° they concluded that the ethylene produced was not the result of disproportionation, but was largely due to the decomposition of the pentanonyl radical.

$$CH_3CD_2COCD_2CH_2 \longrightarrow 2CH_3CD_2 + CO$$
(17)  
$$CH_3CD_2 + CH_3CD_2COCD_2CH_3 \longrightarrow$$

 $CH_3CD_2H + CH_2CD_2COCD_2CH_3 \quad (18)$ 

$$CH_2CD_2COCD_2CH_3 \longrightarrow CH_2CD_2 + CD_2CH_3 + CO \quad (19)$$

However, it was evident that some other ethylene producing reaction was taking place, since  $C_2D_2H_2$ was formed considerably faster than the  $C_2D_2H_4$ 

(9) M. H. J. Wijnen and E. W. R. Steacie, Can. J. Chem., 29, 1092 (1951).

at temperatures of 300° and above. The authors apparently did not analyze for hydrogen in this work. Our work supports the thesis that the ethyl radical can decompose to give ethylene and a hydrogen atom in the region of  $300^{\circ}$ . It is probable that it is this decomposition of the CH<sub>3</sub>CD<sub>2</sub> radical which caused the elevation of the C<sub>2</sub>D<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>/C<sub>2</sub>D<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> ratio in the work of Wijnen and Steacie.<sup>9</sup> If the decomposition of the ethyl radical is an important reaction above  $300^{\circ}$ , hydrogen must appear in the products. In a later paper, Kutschke, Wijnen and Steacie<sup>10</sup> found no hydrogen in the products of the photolysis of the diethyl ketone, but all of their experiments were done at temperatures below  $300^{\circ}$ , where none would be expected.

(10) K. O. Kutschke, M. H. J. Wijnen and E. W. R. Steacie, THIS JOURNAL, 74, 714 (1952).

CHINA LAKE, CALIF.

[CONTRIBUTION FROM THE KNOLLS ATOMIC POWER LABORATORY, GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY]

### The $\beta$ -Particle Radiolysis of Acetylene<sup>1,2</sup>

### By Leon M. Dorfman<sup>8</sup> and F. J. Shipko

RECEIVED APRIL 26, 1955

The  $\beta$ -particle radiolysis of acetylene has been investigated at room temperature for the system tritium-acetylene. The 100 e.v. yields for the over-all rate of disappearance of acetylene to form cuprene and benzene, the only volatile product, and of benzene formation are:  $-G_{C_2H_3} = 71.9$  and  $G_{C_3H_3} = 5.1$ . The fraction of the acetylene going to form benzene is independent of acetylene pressure and radiation intensity, and has an average value of 0.21. Since the ratio of the rates of the rates of acetylene pressure and radiation intensity, the two processes are not competitive for the same trimer intermediate. A mechanism is suggested in which the reaction paths are determined by the formation of different excited states of acetylene. Cyclization is initiated by an excited molecule, in a triplet state, which may have a bent configuration. Such a state has been characterized in a recent analysis of the acetylene ultraviolet spectrum by Ingold and King. Experiments with deuteroacetylene show that exchange occurs between the isotopic acetylene molecules, indicating that carbon-hydrogen bond rupture does occur in the radiolysis.

### Introduction

The radiation polymerization of acetylene to form cuprene is one of the earliest hydrocarbon radiolyses studied, 4.5 and the over-all yield for the reaction has been quite accurately established.6 The reaction has been investigated from many points of view, and in recent years attention has been directed chiefly to the question of transfer mechanisms occurring in the primary process when mixtures are irradiated. One aspect of the reaction, which may be of particular importance in understanding the role of excited electronic states has been largely neglected. This concerns the formation of benzene. Benzene has been identified<sup>7</sup> as a product, and the significance of its formation has been pointed out.<sup>8</sup> No kinetic analysis has yet been carried out in which both the rate of cyclization to form benzene and the over-all reaction rate have been determined over any variation of condi-

(1) The Knolls Atomic Power Laboratory is operated for the United States Atomic Energy Commission y the General Electric Co., Contract No. W-31-109 Eng. 52.

(2) Presented before the 127th Meeting of the American Chemical Society, Cincinnati, Ohio, April, 1955.

(3) General Electric Research Laboratory, Schenectady, N. Y.

(4) S. C. Lind and D. C. Bardwell, Science, 62, 423 (1925).

(5) W. D. Coolidge, ibid., 62, 441 (1925).

(6) S. C. Lind, D. C. Bardwell and J. H. Perry, THIS JOURNAL, 48, 1556 (1926).

(7) W. Mund and C. Rosenblum, J. Phys. Chem., 41, 469 (1937).

(8) C. Rosenblum, ibid., 52, 474 (1948).

tions, such as pressure and intensity. A knowledge of the relationship between these rates is essential to an understanding of the mechanism of the radiolysis.

In the present investigation, the rate of the acetylene polymerization, initiated by tritium  $\beta$ -particles, has been determined at room temperature for the system T<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>. The tritium serves as a highly intense source of  $\beta$ -radiation. The incident intensity is readily determinable from mass spectrometric analysis of the starting tritium along with the known nuclear properties of this isotope. In some of the runs, mixtures of acetylene and deuteroacetylene were used to determine whether dissociation occurs during the course of the reaction.

### Experimental

The acetylene reactant and the tritium were purified as follows. Technical grade acetylene was degassed at  $-140^{\circ}$ , then purified by bulb-to-bulb distillation at  $-95^{\circ}$ . Routine mass spectrometric analysis of the acetylene, carried out before each run, failed to disclose the presence of any impurity, so that impurity, if any, amounted to certainly less than 0.1% of the reactant acetylene. Partially deuterated acetylene, used in three of the runs, was made by passing deuterium oxide over calcium carbide which had been previously degassed. The deuteroacetylene was then subjected to a similar distillation purification. The tritium gas was stored on a uranium bed and degassed and desorbed as required for each run. The tritium contained varying amounts of hydrogen, with the purest gas consisting of about 85% tritium.